GCDkit goes platform independent!

V. JANOUŠEK^{1*}, J.F. MOYEN², V. ERBAN¹, J. HORA¹

¹ Czech Geological Survey, 118 21 Prague, Czech Republic (*correspondence: vojtech.janousek@geology.cz, erban@sopky.cz, john.hora@geology.cz)

² Université de Lyon, Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, 42023 Saint Etienne, France (jfmoyen@gmail.com)

More than 15 years have passed since the *Geochemical Data Toolkit (GCDkit)* was released at the Goldschmidt Conference in Kurashiki [1]. This freeware, written in the potent R language [2; <u>http://www.r-project.org</u>] evolved into an established standard for plotting and recalculation of whole-rock geochemical data from magmatic rocks [3]. It can be downloaded for free from <u>www.gcdkit.org</u>.

Apart from its original, Windows-based graphical user interface (GUI), *GCDkit* can be fully controlled from the command line or programmed from a batch file. Pythondriven notebooks represent a versatile tool integrating text, programming code and its results into a single, well-formatted document. *Jupyter* (<u>http://jupyter.org</u>) has proved to be an especially useful tool for reproducible research and teaching.

Over the years, *GCDkit* became an ideal platform for petrogenetic modelling of igneous processes [4, 5]. It is also used to interpret the output of the powerful R-Crust software that serves for phase equilibria modelling of partial melting using the promising combination of *Perple X* and R [6].

Just unleashed *GCDkit* version 6 is the first with platform-independent (Tcl/Tk) GUI. Thus the program should run, *inter alia*, on Mac or Linux systems. Routines for recalculation of electron-microprobe mineral data, thermodynamic modelling in P–T grid (utilizing *Perple_X*[7] output [5] or interfacing to the *Melts* web service [8, 9]), and rudimentary GIS facilities are actively being developed.

This research was supported by the Czech Grant Agency project 18-24378S (to VJ).

 Janoušek et al. (2003) Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 67, 186. [2] Ihaka & Gentleman (1996) J. Comp. Graph. Stat. 5, 299-344. [3] Janoušek et al. (2006) J. Petrol. 47, 1255-1259.
Janoušek et al. (2016) Springer-Verlag, 346 pp. [5] Janoušek & Moyen (in print) In: Geol. Soc. London Spec. Pub 491. [6] Mayne et al. (2016) J. Metamorph. Geol. 34, 663-682. [7] Connolly & Petrini (2002) J. Metamorph. Geol. 20, 697-708. [8] Ghiorso & Sack (1995) Contrib. Mineral. Petrol. 119, 197-212. [9] Gualda & Ghiorso (2015) Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 16, 315-324.